arXiv:1609.06155v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 20 Sep 2016

Probing the Scale Invariance of the Inflationary Power Spectrum in Expanding Dipolar Condensates

Seok-Yeong Chä and Uwe R. Fischer
Seoul National University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul 08826, Korea
(Dated: September 21, 2016)

We consider an analogue de Sitter cosmos in an expanding quasi-two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate, with dominant dipole-dipole interactions between the atoms or molecules in the ultracold gas. It is demonstrated that a hallmark signature of inflationary cosmology, the scale invariance of the power spectrum of inflaton field correlations, experiences strong modifications when at the initial stage of expansion the excitation spectrum displays a roton minimum. Dipolar quantum gases thus furnish a viable laboratory tool to experimentally investigate, with well-defined and controllable initial conditions, whether primordial oscillation spectra deviating from Lorentz invariance at trans-Planckian momenta violate standard predictions of inflationary cosmology.

The hypothesis of a rapid initial expansion of the cosmos in the inflationary scenario [1–3] resolved many vexing cosmological questions plaguing other theories, such as the observed flatness and homogeneity of the Universe, as well as the nonexistence of monopoles. However the resolution of these issues comes at the price of creating another potential problem [4]: Generally the period of inflation lasts so long that, at the beginning of the inflationary period, the physical wavelengths of comoving scales which correspond to the present large-scale structure of the Universe were smaller than the Planck length. Thus necessarily trans-Planckian energies become involved, for which the physics is at present speculative. Similar issues arise when tracing back Hawking radiation emission all the way down to the black hole horizon [5–7].

The analogue gravity programme [8–10], aiming to simulate quantum fields in curved spacetime, has previously been applied to cosmological phenomena in cold quantum gases, e.g., for a quantum simulation of cosmic inflation [11, 12], the Gibbons-Hawking effect [13], cosmological particle production [14–16] or false vacuum decay [17]. Analogues of cosmological particle production, Sakharov oscillations, black hole lasers, and Hawking radiation have recently been observed in groundbreaking experiments [18–22]. In the near future, these experiments hold promise to realize experimental quantum cosmology in the lab, that is with reproducible initial conditions, distinct from the current purely observational cosmology of a pre-given state of the Universe. A major motivation of analogue gravity is to probe consequences of trans-Planckian physics in a microscopically well understood setup, in a regime inaccessible for quantum fields in the presence of strong real (Einsteinian or other) gravity. Here, we propose to realize this aim with dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), addressing the trans-Planckian problem of inflationary cosmology.

Going beyond contact interactions (in field theory language ϕ^4), experiments with dipolar BECs [23] now have reached a stage where dipole-dipole interaction (DDI) dominated erbium [24] and dysprosium [25] BECs with large magnetic moments $7\mu_B$, and $10\mu_B$, respectively, can be obtained. In addition, the future realization of electric-dipole BECs will offer even greater flexibility in controlling the ratio of dipolar and contact interactions. The dispersion relation of DDI-dominated BECs displays a roton minimum [26–28], and the roton-induced dynamical effects are experimentally explored in DDI-dominated gases [29, 30]. In addition, advances in probing correlation functions to increasing accuracy [19, 31] pave the way for a detailed exploration of the intricate many-body correlations due to long-range interactions.

For certain classes of inflaton dispersion relations, displaying deviations from Lorentz invariance at trans-Planckian scales, the predictions of inflation, in particular the scale invariance of the power spectrum (SIPS) of inflaton field correlations remain robust, while for others they change significantly, cf., e.g., [32–36]. We will show that dipolar BECs, possessing trans-Planckian spectra leading to strong departures from Lorentz invariance, yield significant changes of the standard inflationary prediction of SIPS. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first example within analogue gravity where violations of SIPS can become experimentally manifest.

We start with the Lagrangian density of a Bose gas comprising atoms or molecules of mass m,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}\big(\Psi^*\dot{\Psi} - \dot{\Psi}^*\Psi\big) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\Psi|^2 - V_{\rm ext}|\Psi|^2 \\ - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2\int d^3\mathbf{R}'\, V_{\rm int}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')|\Psi(\mathbf{R}')|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r}, z)$ are spatial 3D coordinates. Writing the trapping as $V_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \frac{m}{2}[\omega^2\mathbf{r}^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2]$, ω and ω_z can have arbitrary time dependences. The gas is strongly confined in z-direction, with aspect ratio $\lambda = \omega_z/\omega \gg 1$ over the whole time evolution. The interaction is the sum $V_{\rm int}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}') = g_c\delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}') + V_{\rm dd}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')$, where g_c is the contact interaction coupling, and $V_{dd}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \frac{3g_d}{4\pi}\frac{1-3z^2/|\mathbf{R}|^2}{|\mathbf{R}|^3}$ for dipoles polarized perpendicular to the plane. We have a *scaling law* $V_{\rm int}(\Lambda\mathbf{R}) = \Lambda^\alpha V_{\rm int}(\mathbf{R})$

[37] for a combined 3D contact and dipolar potential with $\alpha = -3$. Note that the scaling equation (4) below is thus 3D. To ensure stability in the DDI dominated regime [27], we impose the system to stay sufficiently close to the quasi-2D regime during expansion. We integrate out the z-dependence by assuming a Gaussian, $\rho_z(z) = (\pi d_z^2)^{-1/2}\exp\left[-z^2/d_z^2\right]$, where $d_z = b(t)d_{z,0}$ and $b(t)$ is the scale factor in Eq. (2) [38], where $d_{z,0} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{z,0}}$. The reduced contact coupling and lower-dimensional interaction are, respectively, then given by $g_c^{2\mathrm{D}} = g_c/\sqrt{2\pi}d_z$, $V_{\mathrm{int}}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = \int dz dz'\, V_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')\rho_z(z)\rho_z(z')$ [39].

Employing the conventional scaling transformation to describe the evolution of the BEC upon changing the trapping or the coupling constants [37, 40, 41],

$$\mathbf{x} := \frac{\mathbf{r}}{b(t)},\quad \tau := \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{b^2(t')},\quad \Psi(\mathbf{r},t) := e^{i\frac{mr^2}{2\hbar}\frac{\dot{b}}{b}}\frac{\psi(\mathbf{x},\tau)}{b} \tag{2}$$

we obtain the nonlocal Gross-Pitaevskiĭ equation

$$i\hbar\partial_\tau\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_x^2\psi + f^2\Bigg[\frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2x^2 + g_{c,0}^{2\mathrm{D}}|\psi|^2 + \int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V_{\mathrm{dd},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')|\psi(\mathbf{x}')|^2\Bigg]\psi. \tag{3}$$

We combined all remaining time dependences into a single factor $f = f(t)$, imposing [42] ($b(0) = f(0) = 1$)

$$f^2 = \frac{\ddot{b}b^3 + \omega^2(t)b^4}{\omega_0^2} = \frac{g_c(t)}{g_{c,0}b} = \frac{g_d(t)}{g_{d,0}b}. \tag{4}$$

To separate off the effective contact interaction contribution g_0^{eff}, we put $V_{\mathrm{int},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') = g_{c,0}^{2\mathrm{D}}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') + V_{\mathrm{dd},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') := g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') + U_0^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$ [39].

The planar cloud size greatly exceeds the wavelengths of relevant Bogoliubov excitations in the plane. Especially near the center of cloud density gradients are thus negligible, and we approximate the 2D comoving density $\rho_0 \simeq$ const. The Bogoliubov equations for density and phase fluctuations read, with comoving momentum $\mathbf{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_\tau + i\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}}\cdot\mathbf{k})\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}} &= \frac{\hbar\rho_0}{m}k^2\delta\phi_{\mathbf{k}},\\ (\partial_\tau + i\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}}\cdot\mathbf{k})\delta\phi_{\mathbf{k}} &= -\frac{f^2g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}}{\hbar}\mathcal{W}_k\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}},\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_k = \frac{\zeta^2}{4Af^2} + \frac{1}{g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}}\tilde{V}_{\mathrm{int},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}(k),\quad A = \frac{mc_0^2}{\hbar\omega_{z,0}}, \tag{6}$$

with $c_0 = \sqrt{g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}\rho_0/m}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{V}_{\mathrm{int},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}(k)$ is the Fourier transform of $V_{\mathrm{int},0}^{2\mathrm{D}}$ with dimensionless $\zeta = kd_{z,0}$, and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}}$ is the comoving frame velocity [39]; we assume it to be negligibly small in what follows, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}} \simeq 0$.

Solving the second equation in (5) for $\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$ and substituting into the first equation yields

$$\ddot{\delta\tilde{\phi}}_k + \left(2\frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{\mathcal{W}}_k}{\mathcal{W}_k}\right)\dot{\delta\tilde{\phi}}_k + \left(\frac{c_0k}{a}\right)^2\mathcal{W}_k\delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0, \tag{7}$$



FIG. 1. (a) Squared Bogoliubov excitation energy in units of $\hbar^4/4m^2d_{z,0}^4$, for DDI domination, $R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$. Counting from bottom to top at small ζ, A in (6) is $A_c/10$, A_{min}, A_c, and $1.1A_c$. For $A > A_{\mathrm{min}} = 1.249$, the spectrum develops a roton minimum, and becomes unstable for $A > A_c = 3.4454$. (b) Time evolution of the Bogoliubov spectrum in the course of expansion at criticality $A = A_c$. Initially, a roton minimum occurs, disappearing at late times.

where overdot denotes taking derivative with respect to τ, and we have rescaled $\delta\tilde{\phi} = \Omega^{-1/2}\delta\phi$, $\Omega = c_0^2m^2/\hbar^2\rho_0$ for later convenience. We introduce here the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmological scale factor by $a(t) := 1/f(t)$, see below for detailed discussion.

In an adiabatic regime [42], momentarily ignoring time derivatives of a and $\mathcal{W}_k$, the Bogoliubov dispersion is

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{(\hbar\omega_{z,0})^2} = \frac{A\zeta^2}{a^2}\left(1 - \frac{3R}{2}\zeta w\left[\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}\right]\right) + \frac{\zeta^4}{4}, \tag{8}$$

where the w function $w(z) = \exp[z^2](1-\mathrm{erf}[z])$ contains the error function [27, 43]. The dimensionless parameter $R = g_{d,0}/(d_{z,0}g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}) = \sqrt{\pi/2}/(1 + g_{c,0}/2g_{d,0})$ ranges from $R = 0$ if $g_{d,0}/g_{c,0} \to 0$, to $R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ for $g_{d,0}/g_{c,0} \to \infty$. In the latter DDI dominated case, the spectrum displays a "roton" minimum which touches zero when A is equal to the critical value $A_c = 3.4454$, disappearing at late stages of the analogue cosmological evolution, see Fig.1.

In the long-wavelength limit, $\mathcal{W}_k \to 1$, and (7) becomes

$$\ddot{\delta\tilde{\phi}}_k + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\delta\tilde{\phi}}_k + \left(\frac{c_0k}{a}\right)^2\delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0, \tag{9}$$

The gravitational analogy can now be established by introducing the analogue space-time line element [9, 15, 44]

$$ds^2 = \frac{\hbar^4\rho_0^2}{f^2c_0^4m^4}\left[\frac{c_s^2}{c_0^2}dt^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2\right], \tag{10}$$

where $c_s(t) = f(t)\sqrt{g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}\rho_0/m}$ is the sound velocity and $c_0 = c_s(0)$. Then, (9) becomes the wave equation for a massless, minimally coupled free scalar field $\Box\delta\tilde{\phi} = (1/\sqrt{|\tilde{g}|})\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|\tilde{g}|}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\delta\tilde{\phi}) = 0$ in a (2+1)D FRW spacetime $ds^2 = \Omega^{-2}\left(c_0^2d\tau^2 - a^2d\mathbf{x}^2\right)$ [39].

The simple possibility of $g_c(t) \propto g_d(t) \propto \exp[-2Ht]$ to realize an effective de Sitter (dark energy dominated)

cosmos, $a = \exp[Ht]$, while having the advantage that the gas does not need to expand ($b(t) = 1\,\forall\, t$ and thus $\tau := t$), comes with the experimental difficulty that both couplings need to vary exponentially rapidly in lab time, see Eqs. (2) and (4). While this is in principle possible [45], also cf. Ref. [46], we keep for the below discussion g_c as well as g_d constant; then $a^2(t) = b(t)$. For de Sitter expansion, $a(\tau) = 1/f(\tau) = e^{H\tau}$, and thence in the lab

$$b(t) = \sqrt{4Ht + 1}, \quad \omega^2(t) = \omega_0^2/b^5 + 4H^2/b^4. \qquad (11)$$

The radial condensate velocity then scales as $v = 2Hr/b^2$ and the kinetic energy per particle, relative to $\omega_{z,0}$, as A/b^2. It thus decreases as ω_z, ensuring the proximity to the quasi-2D limit $\forall\, t$. The much slower (in comoving τ-space) pre-de Sitter stage of cosmic expansion, $t < 0$, is conceived such that it $\approx$ adiabatically leads to $\dot{b}(0) = 2H$. It can be used to simulate as well the radiation- ($a(\tau) \propto \tau^{1/2}$) and matter-dominated ($a(\tau) \propto \tau^{2/3}$) eras of cosmic expansion [47], by tuning $\omega(t)$ and/or $g_{c,d}(t)$.

It is noteworthy that with the (asymptotically square-root) expansion (11), Eq. (9) yields an analytical solution

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k(s) = s\sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar VH}{4mc_0^2k^2}}\Big[J_1(s) + iY_1(s)\Big] := h_k(s), \qquad (12)$$

where the variable $s = (c_0/H)/(a/k)$ measures the ratio of Hubble radius to the cosmic expansion-rescaled wavelength, and J_1, Y_1 are Bessel functions [39]; s starts from ∞ and approaches zero when τ runs from $-\infty$ to ∞, that is when conformal time $\eta := \int_\infty^\tau d\tau' c_0/a(\tau')$, for which $ds^2 = a^2[d\eta^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2]$, ranges from $-\infty$ to 0. The instantaneous vacuum corresponding to the basis $h_k(s)$ is the Bunch-Davies vacuum [48], yielding an asymptotic Minkowski vacuum in the (formally) infinite past equivalent to the lab's quasi-particle vacuum. It is assumed that the initial Bunch-Davies vacuum $|0\rangle$ at $\eta = -c_0/H$ ($t = \tau = 0$) is during the pre-de Sitter stage smoothly connected to this asymptotic vacuum. We emphasize that "cosmological" quasi-particles are measurable: In [39], we establish the equivalence of representations using cosmological, comoving/scaling and lab frame Bogoliubov quasi-particles, also cf. [49, 50], and elaborate on the measurement process when the expansion is stopped.

The modes oscillate almost freely for $\eta \to -\infty$. At $k\eta = -1$ and horizon crossing, the mode freezes, leading to the standard theory of inhomogeneity/galaxy formation during inflation [3]. At late times, $s \to 0$, and the modes do effectively not evolve anymore. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of kh_k as a function of $k\eta$; (b)$\sim$(d) illustrate the fact that when trans-Planckian defomation of the spectrum is included (see below), horizon crossing and mode freezing nontrivially still occur.

We define the power spectrum $P(k)$ as the Fourier transform of the correlation function [47], $\xi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \langle 0|\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{y},\tau)|0\rangle := \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} P(k)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}$, from



FIG. 2. (a) Freezing process of the inflaton mode function kh_k in units of $\sqrt{\hbar HV/\pi mc_0^2} = \sqrt{HV/\pi A\omega_{z,0}}$, in terms of the wavenumber dependent logarithmic conformal time $k\eta$. Blue solid line represents the imaginary part of kh_k and black dashed line represents the absolute value of kh_k. (a) Lorentz-invariant relativistic regime. (b)$\sim$(d) illustrate that when the trans-Planckian spectrum is taken into account, solving Eq.(16), freezing still occurs ($A = A_c/10$ and $R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$).

which we have $P(k) = \langle\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_{\mathbf{k}}\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle/V = |h_k|^2/V$. At late times, $\eta \to 0$, the power spectrum $P(k)$ converges to $\hbar H/\pi mc_0^2k^2$ and we see that the quantity

$$\Delta^2(k) := k^2P(k) \qquad (13)$$

becomes independent of k. We thus obtain, after freezing, a spectrum in which $\Delta^2(k)$, the variance per $\ln k$ [39, 47], is constant, referred to as cosmological SIPS [51–53]. Note that SIPS is not *per se* related to the scaling approach to describe expansion of the gas.

Regarding $\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)$ as a homogeneous and isotropic Gaussian random field [3], and identifying its variance by $\sigma_k^2 := P(k)$ [39] we obtain a real space realization of the scale-invariant, fully relativistic limit, see Fig. 3 (a).

The solution (12) represents phonons residing in the low-momentum corner of the Bogoliubov dispersion relation (see Fig. 1 (a)). In order to incorporate trans-Planckian dispersion, and to describe its influence on the small k regime, we consider the more general Bogoliubov equations (7). We start by rewriting (7) using s as variable,

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k'' - \frac{G(\zeta)^2 - \frac{(a\zeta)^4}{4A}}{G(\zeta)^2 + \frac{(a\zeta)^4}{4A}}\frac{1}{s}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k' + \left[\frac{(a\zeta)^4}{4A} + G(\zeta)^2\right]\delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0, \qquad (14)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to s, and $G(\zeta)^2 := V^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0}/g_0^{\rm eff}$. Taking into account (8) and (6), the linear dispersion occurs for wavenumbers satisfying

$$\frac{(a\zeta)^2}{4A} \ll G(\zeta)^2 \quad (\Rightarrow \text{analogue Planck scale } \zeta_{\rm PL}). \qquad (15)$$

For small ζ, $G(\zeta)^2 \to 1$, and Eq. (15) defines $\zeta_{\rm PL}$. Experiments will generally probe sub-Planckian ζ that satisfy



FIG. 3. (a) Coordinate space representation of the (real) field $\delta\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x},\tau)$, in units of $\sqrt{\hbar HV/\pi mc_0^2 d_{z,0}^2}$ after the completion of the freezing process. The volume of the system $V = (2\lambda d_{z,0})^2$ and the wavevector separation is chosen to be $\Delta k = 2\pi/2\lambda d_{z,0}$. The statistical self-similarity reveals itself by the same degree of "wrinkliness" on each scale. (b) The field obtained from numerical implementation of the full Bogoliubov equations ($A = A_c/10$, $R = 0$). Plots (c) through (f) are for increasing A and dominating DDI ($R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$).

(15). Therefore, we consider (14) given (15) is fulfilled,

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k'' - \frac{1}{s}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k' + G(\zeta)^2\delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0. \tag{16}$$

From (11), we have $4H^2 = \omega^2(0)$ (for $\omega_0^2 \ll 4H^2$). Setting $\lambda = 50$, $\omega_{z,0} = 2\pi \times 2921$Hz results in $H = 183.5\,\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. Given n_f e-folds of the scale factor $a(t_f) = \exp[n_f]$, the final lab time is $t_f = (\exp[4n_f] - 1)/4H$. For 2.5 e-folds, then, $t_f \sim 30\,$sec in lab time (for $w_{z,0} = 2\pi \times 3952$ Hz, $H = 248.3\,\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, and 2 e-folds, $t_f \sim 3\,$sec.) We introduce a momentum cutoff $\zeta_c \lesssim 0.1$ which meets (15) at late times. Fig. 4 displays $\Delta^2(k)$, and clearly shows the deviations from SIPS, occurring for strongly dipolar interactions. When $R = 0$, Eq. (16) becomes identical to the wave equation in analogue curved spacetime (9), and SIPS for long wavelengths obtains, cf. Ref. [12] and Fig. 4. For high momenta, there is a slight increase in the spectrum line. As we increase the number of e-folds, this deviation converges to zero; for small wavelengths, it takes longer time to exit the Hubble horizon, and settling down requires longer. Using the power spectrum $\Delta^2(k)$, one again constructs Gaussian random fields and the coordinate-space realization of Fig. 3 (b)–(f) is obtained, demonstrating the violation of SIPS for increasing DDI by introducing short-range structures.



FIG. 4. $\Delta^2(k) = k^2P(k)$ as a function of in-plane momentum ζ, for 2.5 e-folds. Black dashed line represent SIPS. Black solid line corresponds to contact interaction, $R = 0$ ($A = A_c/10$). The other lines correspond to DDI domination ($R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$), with values of A as specified in the inset. In the long-wavelength limit, all lines converge to SIPS. The slope of the $R = 0$ curve decreases for increasing number of e-folds.

Whether SIPS is robust to trans-Planckian physics was studied in [32] (also cf. [33]), where scale separation and adiabaticity in conformal time were established as sufficient conditions for SIPS. Scale separation reads $H/c_0 \ll k_{\mathrm{Pl}}(\eta_k)/a(\eta_k)$, while adiabaticity holds when $\mathcal{C}(\eta) := \left|\partial_\eta\omega/\omega^2\right| \ll 1\,\forall\,\eta_i < \eta < \eta_f$, where η_f is the 'nonadiabatic time' lying between η_i, the onset of inflation, and η_k, the horizon crossing, which satisfies $H/c_0 \ll k/a(\eta_f) \ll k_{\mathrm{Pl}}(\eta_k)/a(\eta_k)$. Roughly speaking, η_f is the moment when the mode stops to behave WKB-like. For de Sitter spacetime, $a = -c_0/H\eta$, scale separation holds when $k \ll k_{\mathrm{Pl}}(\eta_k)$. A given k thus must lie in the linear dispersion regime at horizon crossing $k\eta_k = -1$. This is equivalent to imposing (15), evaluated at horizon crossing. Therefore, in our numerical implementation of the Bogoliubov equations which employs (15), scale separation is satisfied automatically. According to [32], scale separation usually implies adiabaticity, and this results in the robustness of the predictions of the inflationary scenario. However, when the spectrum has a deep minimum, as here, adiabaticity can be violated even when scale separation holds, and SIPS breaks down.

In conclusion, we have found that for contact interactions, $R = 0$, SIPS is retained (in the limit of many e-folds), while there appear strong deviations from scale invariance in the presence of strong DDI (Fig. 4) due to an initially present roton minimum. Importantly, the influence of the trans-Planckian nonlinear dispersion is manifest even far from criticality at A_c. When a negative slope in the excitation spectrum occurs ($A > A_{\min}$ in Fig.1), the power spectrum shows a general tendency

of increase at high momenta. On the other hand, for monotonously increasing spectrum, when $A \leq A_{\rm min}$, the power spectrum oscillates around the SIPS prediction.

We stress that the presence of a minimum in the spectrum does *not necessarily* imply violations of scale invariance. It is possible to construct an analytic solution to the full Bogoliubov equations for a spectrum with minimum, which displays SIPS [39]. The proposed experiment (or variants thereof, possibly with other, engineered interaction potentials) can thus potentially lead to conclusions about the trans-Planckian physics of relativistic quantum fields in early cosmological stages.

Going beyond mean-field theory, future perspectives include to study the influence of strong quantum fluctuations of high density electrically dipolar gases [54], prevailing in an early, possibly pre-metric stage the analogue cosmological evolution, onto the inflationary scenario.

This research was supported by the NRF Korea, Grant No. 2014R1A2A2A01006535.

[1] Alan H. Guth, "Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems," Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347–356 (1981).

[2] A. D. Linde, "A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems," Physics Letters B **108**, 389–393 (1982).

[3] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).

[4] Jérôme Martin and Robert H. Brandenberger, "Trans-Planckian problem of inflationary cosmology," Phys. Rev. D **63**, 123501 (2001).

[5] W. G. Unruh, "Sonic analogue of black holes and the effects of high frequencies on black hole evaporation," Phys. Rev. D **51**, 2827–2838 (1995).

[6] Steven Corley and Ted Jacobson, "Hawking spectrum and high frequency dispersion," Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1568–1586 (1996).

[7] William G. Unruh and Ralf Schützhold, "Universality of the Hawking effect," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 024028 (2005).

[8] W. G. Unruh, "Experimental Black-Hole Evaporation?" Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 1351–1353 (1981).

[9] Carlos Barceló, Stefano Liberati, and Matt Visser, "Analogue Gravity," Living Reviews in Relativity **14** (2011), 10.12942/lrr-2011-3.

[10] G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet*, International Series of Monographs on Physics (OUP Oxford, 2009).

[11] Uwe R. Fischer and Ralf Schützhold, "Quantum simulation of cosmic inflation in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates," Phys. Rev. A **70**, 063615 (2004).

[12] Michael Uhlmann, Yan Xu, and Ralf Schützhold, "Aspects of cosmic inflation in expanding Bose-Einstein condensates," New Journal of Physics **7**, 248 (2005).

[13] Petr O. Fedichev and Uwe R. Fischer, "Gibbons-Hawking Effect in the Sonic de Sitter Space-Time of an Expanding Bose-Einstein-Condensed Gas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 240407 (2003).

[14] Carlos Barceló, S. Liberati, and Matt Visser, "Probing semiclassical analog gravity in Bose-Einstein condensates with widely tunable interactions," Phys. Rev. A **68**, 053613 (2003).

[15] Petr O. Fedichev and Uwe R. Fischer, ""Cosmological" quasiparticle production in harmonically trapped superfluid gases," Phys. Rev. A **69**, 033602 (2004).

[16] Silke Weinfurtner, Piyush Jain, Matt Visser, and C. W. Gardiner, "Cosmological particle production in emergent rainbow spacetimes," Classical and Quantum Gravity **26**, 065012 (2009).

[17] O. Fialko, B. Opanchuk, A. I. Sidorov, P. D. Drummond, and J. Brand, "Fate of the false vacuum: Towards realization with ultra-cold atoms," EPL (Europhysics Letters) **110**, 56001 (2015).

[18] J.-C. Jaskula, G. B. Partridge, M. Bonneau, R. Lopes, J. Ruaudel, D. Boiron, and C. I. Westbrook, "Acoustic Analog to the Dynamical Casimir Effect in a Bose-Einstein Condensate," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 220401 (2012).

[19] Chen-Lung Hung, Victor Gurarie, and Cheng Chin, "From Cosmology to Cold Atoms: Observation of Sakharov Oscillations in a Quenched Atomic Superfluid," Science **341**, 1213–1215 (2013).

[20] Jeff Steinhauer, "Observation of self-amplifying Hawking radiation in an analogue black-hole laser," Nat. Phys. **10**, 864–869 (2014).

[21] D. Boiron, A. Fabbri, P.-É. Larré, N. Pavloff, C. I. Westbrook, and P. Ziń, "Quantum Signature of Analog Hawking Radiation in Momentum Space," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 025301 (2015).

[22] Jeff Steinhauer, "Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole," Nat. Phys. **12,** advance online publication**,** http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3863 (2016).

[23] Thierry Lahaye, Tobias Koch, Bernd Fröhlich, Marco Fattori, Jonas Metz, Axel Griesmaier, Stefano Giovanazzi, and Tilman Pfau, "Strong dipolar effects in a quantum ferrofluid," Nature **448**, 672–675 (2007).

[24] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino, "Bose-Einstein Condensation of Erbium," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 210401 (2012).

[25] Mingwu Lu, Nathaniel Q. Burdick, Seo Ho Youn, and Benjamin L. Lev, "Strongly Dipolar Bose-Einstein Condensate of Dysprosium," Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 190401 (2011).

[26] L. Santos, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, "Roton-Maxon Spectrum and Stability of Trapped Dipolar Bose-Einstein Condensates," Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 250403 (2003).

[27] Uwe R. Fischer, "Stability of quasi-two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensates with dominant dipole-dipole interactions," Phys. Rev. A **73**, 031602 (2006).

[28] Shai Ronen, Daniele C. E. Bortolotti, and John L. Bohn, "Radial and Angular Rotons in Trapped Dipolar Gases," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 030406 (2007).

[29] Holger Kadau, Matthias Schmitt, Matthias Wenzel, Clarissa Wink, Thomas Maier, Igor Ferrier-Barbut, and Tilman Pfau, "Observing the Rosensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid," Nature **530**, 194–197 (2016).

[30] Igor Ferrier-Barbut, Holger Kadau, Matthias Schmitt, Matthias Wenzel, and Tilman Pfau, "Observation of Quantum Droplets in a Strongly Dipolar Bose Gas,"

Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 215301 (2016).
[31] S. S. Hodgman, R. G. Dall, A. G. Manning, K. G. H. Baldwin, and A. G. Truscott, "Direct Measurement of Long-Range Third-Order Coherence in Bose-Einstein Condensates," Science **331**, 1046–1049 (2011).
[32] Jens C. Niemeyer and Renaud Parentani, "Trans-planckian dispersion and scale invariance of inflationary perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **64**, 101301 (2001).
[33] A. A. Starobinsky, "Robustness of the inflationary perturbation spectrum to trans-Planckian physics," Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters **73**, 371–374 (2001).
[34] Robert H. Brandenberger and Jérôme Martin, "The robustness of inflation to changes in super-planck-scale physics," Modern Physics Letters A **16**, 999–1006 (2001).
[35] Robert H. Brandenberger and Jérôme Martin, "Trans-Planckian issues for inflationary cosmology," Classical and Quantum Gravity **30**, 113001 (2013).
[36] Tao Zhu, Anzhong Wang, Gerald Cleaver, Klaus Kirsten, and Qin Sheng, "Inflationary cosmology with nonlinear dispersion relations," Phys. Rev. D **89**, 043507 (2014).
[37] Vladimir Gritsev, Peter Barmettler, and Eugene Demler, "Scaling approach to quantum non-equilibrium dynamics of many-body systems," New Journal of Physics **12**, 113005 (2010).
[38] We neglect the interaction-dependent renormalization of d_z in the crossover regime from quasi-2D to 3D [27], which decreases in time as the gas becomes more dilute.
[39] See supplemental material for an extended discussion and details of the derivation.
[40] Yu. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, "Evolution of a Bose-condensed gas under variations of the confining potential," Phys. Rev. A **54**, R1753–R1756 (1996).
[41] Y. Castin and R. Dum, "Bose-Einstein Condensates in Time Dependent Traps," Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5315–5319 (1996).
[42] Michael Uhlmann, "Quantum fluctuations in trapped time-dependent Bose-Einstein condensates," Phys. Rev. A **79**, 033601 (2009).
[43] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions* (Dover Publications, New York, 1970).
[44] Carlos Barceló, Stefano Liberati, and Matt Visser, "Analogue models for FRW cosmologies," International Journal of Modern Physics D **12**, 1641–1649 (2003).
[45] Stefano Giovanazzi, Axel Görlitz, and Tilman Pfau, "Tuning the Dipolar Interaction in Quantum Gases," Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 130401 (2002).
[46] Stefan S. Natu, L. Campanello, and S. Das Sarma, "Dynamics of correlations in a quasi-two-dimensional dipolar Bose gas following a quantum quench," Phys. Rev. A **90**, 043617 (2014).
[47] J. A. Peacock, *Cosmological Physics*, Cambridge Astrophysics (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
[48] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, "Quantum field theory in de Sitter space: renormalization by point-splitting," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences **360**, 117–134 (1978).
[49] Yasunari Kurita, Michikazu Kobayashi, Takao Morinari, Makoto Tsubota, and Hideki Ishihara, "Spacetime analog of Bose-Einstein condensates: Bogoliubov-de Gennes formulation," Phys. Rev. A **79**, 043616 (2009).
[50] C. Barceló, L. J. Garay, and G. Jannes, "Two faces of quantum sound," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 044042 (2010).
[51] E. R. Harrison, "Fluctuations at the Threshold of Classical Cosmology," Phys. Rev. D **1**, 2726–2730 (1970).
[52] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, "A Hypothesis, Unifying the Structure and the Entropy of the Universe," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **160**, 1P–3P (1972).
[53] P. J. E. Peebles and J. T. Yu, "Primeval adiabatic perturbation in an expanding universe," Astrophys. J. **162**, 815–836 (1970).
[54] M. A. Baranov, M. Dalmonte, G. Pupillo, and P. Zoller, "Condensed matter theory of dipolar quantum gases," Chemical Reviews **112**, 5012–5061 (2012).
[55] S. Giovanazzi and D. H. J. O'Dell, "Instabilities and the roton spectrum of a quasi-1D Bose-Einstein condensed gas with dipole-dipole interactions," The European Physical Journal D - Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics **31**, 439–445 (2004).
[56] Ph. Courteille, R. S. Freeland, D. J. Heinzen, F. A. van Abeelen, and B. J. Verhaar, "Observation of a Feshbach Resonance in Cold Atom Scattering," Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 69–72 (1998).
[57] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H. J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, "Observation of Feshbach resonances in a Bose-Einstein condensate," Nature **392**, 151–154 (1998).
[58] N. G. Parker and D. H. J. O'Dell, "Thomas-Fermi versus one- and two-dimensional regimes of a trapped dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate," Phys. Rev. A **78**, 041601 (2008).
[59] H.-Y. Lu, H. Lu, J.-N. Zhang, R.-Z. Qiu, H. Pu, and S. Yi, "Spatial density oscillations in trapped dipolar condensates," Phys. Rev. A **82**, 023622 (2010).
[60] V. Mukhanov and S. Winitzki, *Introduction to Quantum Effects in Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
[61] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
[62] Piyush Jain, Silke Weinfurtner, Matt Visser, and C. W. Gardiner, "Analog model of a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe in Bose-Einstein condensates: Application of the classical field method," Phys. Rev. A **76**, 033616 (2007).
[63] U. Leonhardt, T. Kiss, and P. Öhberg, "Bogoliubov theory of the Hawking effect in Bose-Einstein condensates," Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics **5**, S42 (2003).
[64] Y. Castin, "Bose-Einstein Condensates in Atomic Gases: Simple Theoretical Results," in *Coherent atomic matter waves*, edited by R. Kaiser, C. Westbrook, and F. David (2001) p. 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Action of the system

Dimensional reduction

In the limit of zero-point energy of the axial harmonic oscillator greatly exceeding the chemical potential, and for large aspect ratio, the longitudinal and transversal degrees of freedom decouple and we can factorize the order parameter $\Psi(\mathbf{R},t)$ as follows

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R},t) = \Psi_r(\mathbf{r},t)\Phi_z(z)e^{-i\omega_z t/2}. \tag{S1}$$

Here $\Phi_z(z)$ describes the zero point oscillations in a harmonic oscillator potential, and is given by

$$\Phi_z(z) = \frac{1}{(\pi d_z^2)^{1/4}}\exp\Big[-\frac{z^2}{2d_z^2}\Big],$$

where $d_z = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_z}$ is the oscillator length. Improved estimates for d_z can be found by treating d_z as a parameter minimizing the Gross-Pitaevskiĭ ground-state energy [27, 55].

Substituting (S1) into the action (1), and integrating out the z dependence, we obtain the reduced Lagrangian for the horizontal in-plane mode:

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\Psi_r^*\dot{\Psi}_r - \dot{\Psi}_r^*\Psi_r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla_r\Psi_r|^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega^2 r^2|\Psi_r|^2 - \frac{g_c^{2\mathrm{D}}}{2}|\Psi_r|^4 - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi_r|^2\int d^2\mathbf{r}'\, V_{dd}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')|\Psi_r(\mathbf{r}')|^2,$$

where $\nabla_r = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$. The contact coupling is reduced to $g_c^{2\mathrm{D}} = g_c/\sqrt{2\pi}d_z$, and the reduced lower-dimensional DDI is given by ($\rho_z = |\Phi_z|^2$)

$$V_{dd}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = \int dz dz'\, V_{dd}(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')\rho_z(z)\rho_z(z'), \tag{S2}$$

. We assume the dipoles to be polarized along z-direction by an external field, so that their interaction is

$$V_{dd}(\mathbf{R},t) = \frac{3g_d}{4\pi}\frac{1-3z^2/|\mathbf{R}|^2}{|\mathbf{R}|^3}, \tag{S3}$$

where $g_d = \mu d_m^2/3$ for magnetic and $g_d = d_e^2/3\epsilon$ for electric dipoles.

The nature of this interaction can be seen clearly by looking at its Fourier space representation. The Fourier transform of the DDI (S3) takes the well-known form

$$\tilde{V}_{dd}(\mathbf{K}) = g_d\Big(\frac{3k_z^2}{K^2} - 1\Big),$$

where $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{k}, k_z)$. Here and below, we will use asymmetric Fourier convention in which the inverse transform incorporates the whole prefactor. The Fourier transform of the density profile in z-direction ρ_z is given as $\tilde{\rho}_z(\mathbf{K}) = V\delta_{\mathbf{k},0}\exp[-k_z^2 d_z^2/4]$, where V is the area of the 2D plane. Substituting the inverse Fourier transforms of these expressions into (S2), we obtain [27]

$$V_{dd}^{2\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = \frac{g_d}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}\left\{\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}d_z} - \frac{3k}{2}w\left[\frac{kd_z}{\sqrt{2}}\right]\right\}. \tag{S4}$$

Here we made use of an integral representation of the error function [43]

$$w(z) := e^{z^2}\mathrm{erfc}(z) = \frac{2z}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2}}{z^2+t^2}dt, \quad (z>0) \tag{S5}$$

where the complementary error function is defined as $\mathrm{erfc}(z) = 1-\mathrm{erf}(z) = 1-(2/\sqrt{\pi})\int_0^z \exp(-t^2)dt$.

From (S4), we see that DDI contributes to delta-function-like interaction as well as to nonlocal one. It is convenient to decompose the total (contact and dipolar) interaction into a sum of effective contact interaction and nonlocal interaction:

$$g^{\mathrm{eff}}\delta^2(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') + U^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'), \tag{S6}$$

where the effective contact coupling strength is defined by

$$g^{\mathrm{eff}} := g_c^{\mathrm{2D}} + \frac{2g_d}{\sqrt{2\pi}d_z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}d_z}(g_c + 2g_d),$$

and the nonlocal interaction is written as

$$U^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{g_d}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} kw\left[\frac{kd_z}{\sqrt{2}}\right].$$

Scaling transformation

Having performed dimensional reduction, we consider the action

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\Psi^*\dot{\Psi} - \dot{\Psi}^*\Psi) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\Psi|^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega^2 r^2|\Psi|^2 - \frac{g^{\mathrm{eff}}}{2}|\Psi|^4 - \frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^2\int d^2\mathbf{r}'\, U^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')|\Psi(\mathbf{r}')|^2, \tag{S7}$$

where we dropped subscripts for conciseness. We can prescribe an external time dependences not only with a temporal profile of the trap frequencies but also to $g_c = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s/m$ and g_d by changing the s-wave scattering length a_s via Feshbach resonances [56, 57] and using a rotating polarizing field to change g_d [45], respectively. As a result, he gas cloud will adapt to these changes and will either expand or contract.

A part of this background motion can be accounted for by transforming to a new coordinate system

$$\mathbf{x} := \frac{\mathbf{r}}{b(t)}, \qquad \tau := \int_0^t \frac{1}{b^2(t')}dt', \tag{S8}$$

with a scale factor $b(t)$. Following [40] define $\psi(\mathbf{x},\tau)$ by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) := e^{i\Phi}\frac{\psi(\mathbf{x},\tau)}{b}, \tag{S9}$$

where $\Phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m}{\hbar}r^2\frac{\dot{b}}{b}$ is chosen so as to describe the bulk velocity $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\frac{1}{b}\frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla\Phi$, while the phase of ψ will represent the residual velocity potential, which can be regarded as small. Insertion of this ansatz into the action (S7) yields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\psi^*\partial_\tau\psi - \partial_\tau\psi^*\psi) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla_x\psi|^2 - \frac{m}{2}x^2(\ddot{b}b^2 + \omega^2 b^4)|\psi|^2 - \frac{g^{\mathrm{eff}}}{2}|\psi|^4 - \frac{g_d/g_{d,0}}{2b}\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, U_0^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2|\psi(\mathbf{x}')|^2. \tag{S10}$$

Note that the measure $dtd^2\mathbf{r}$ gives an additional factor of b^4 by the relation $dtd^2\mathbf{r} = b^4 d\tau d^2\mathbf{x}$. The scaled nonlocal interaction is written as

$$U_0^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{g_{d,0}}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')} kw\left[\frac{kd_{z,0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right],$$

where $g_{d,0}$ and $d_{z,0}$ are initial values. In order to obtain this expression, we have assumed a scaling condition

$$d_z(t) = d_{z,0}b(t) \quad \text{or} \quad \omega_z(t) = \frac{\omega_{z,0}}{b^2(t)}. \tag{S11}$$

We can combine the remaining time dependences into a single factor $f(t)$ by imposing (4). Here $g_{c,0}$ is the initial value and ω_0 will be fixed when a specific solution to the scaling equation is chosen. Examples of analytic solutions to the scaling equation (4) are presented in (S25) and (11). Under these scaling conditions, the action (S10) becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\psi^*\partial_\tau\psi - \psi\partial_\tau\psi^*) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\psi|^2 - f^2\left[\frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2|\psi|^2 + \frac{g_0^{\mathrm{eff}}}{2}|\psi|^4 + \frac{1}{2}\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, U_0^{\mathrm{2D}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')|\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2|\psi(\mathbf{x}')|^2\right]. \tag{S12}$$

Hydrodynamic variables and a background solution

In terms of the Madelung representation for the scaled order parameter, $\psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{i\phi}$, the equation of motion (3) can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\tau \rho &= -\frac{\hbar}{m}(\nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla_x \rho + \rho \nabla_x^2 \phi), \\ -\hbar \partial_\tau \phi &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sqrt{\rho}}\nabla_x^2\sqrt{\rho} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla_x\phi)^2 + f^2\frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2 + f^2 \int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\rho(\mathbf{x}'),\end{aligned} \tag{S13}$$

where $V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') = g_0^{\rm eff}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}') + U_0^{\rm 2D}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$. If we linearize the fields around stationary background solutions, $\rho = \rho_0 + \delta\rho$, $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi$, the zeroth order equations are the same as (S13) with subscripts 0 attached to the fields, and the first order equations are the Bogoliubov equations (5).



FIG. S1. The density profile of the gas in units of $g_0^{\rm eff}/\hbar\omega_{z,0}$ as a function of radial distance in units of $d_{z,0}$. In (a), blue solid and red dashed line corresponds to DDI-dominant and contact-dominant cases, repectively. We also present a visualization of the gas in (b), using parameters of ^{168}Er experiment [24]. Namely, $N = 9.5\times10^4$, $d_m = 7\mu_B$, $m = 168$u, $\lambda = 30$, and $\omega_{z,0} = 2\pi\times 5435.2$Hz.

We solve the zeroth order equations assuming vanishingly small residual comoving frame velocity ($\mathbf{v}_{\rm com} := \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla_x\phi_0 = 0$) by the ansatz $\psi_0(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \sqrt{\rho_0(\mathbf{x})}e^{i\phi_0(\tau)}$ [12], and neglect the kinetic energy term, which is equivalent to neglecting terms proportional to $\nabla_x^2\sqrt{\rho_0}$. Then we obtain a spatially constant phase function

$$\phi_0(\tau) = -\frac{\mu_0}{\hbar}\int_0^\tau d\tau'\, f^2(\tau'), \tag{S14}$$

where μ_0 is initial chemical potential, and an integral equation for time independent density profile

$$\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\rho_0(\mathbf{x}') = \mu_0 - \frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2, \tag{S15}$$

which can be solved numerically. Because of the partially attractive nature of DDI, the profile shows enhanced concentration at the center compared to the pure contact case, cf. Fig. S1. Also, the anisotropy of the interaction results in the appearance of small wiggles in the density profile [58, 59].

Gravitational analogy and an analytical solution

Effective geometry

Rewriting the equation (9) in real space, the resulting equation is equivalent to the phases only Lagrangian

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}^{(2)}} = \frac{\hbar^2/2}{f^2 g_0^{\text{eff}}}(D\delta\phi)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2\rho_0}{2m}(\nabla_x\delta\phi)^2, \tag{S16}$$

where $D = \partial_\tau + \mathbf{v}_{\text{com}} \cdot \nabla_x$ is the comoving derivative.

With the metric tensor (10), the Lagrangian becomes that of a minimally coupled free scalar field in a curved spacetime

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}^{(2)}} = \frac{mc_0^2}{2}\sqrt{|g|}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\delta\phi\partial_\nu\delta\phi, \tag{S17}$$

where repeated indices imply summation over $\mu = 0,\ 1,\ 2$ and $x^0 = c_0\tau$.

For the background solutions (S14) and (S15), $\mathbf{v}_{\text{com}} = \frac{\hbar}{m}\nabla_x\phi_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and ρ_0 is time independent. In this case the line element (10) becomes

$$ds^2 = \Omega^{-2}\big(c_0^2 d\tau^2 - a^2 d\mathbf{x}^2\big), \quad a = \frac{1}{f}, \tag{S18}$$

where the conformal factor

$$\Omega = \frac{c_0^2 m^2}{\hbar^2\rho_0} \tag{S19}$$

is dimensionless. Herein, we assume that the density ρ_0 is essentially homogeneous near the center of cloud. This implies that ω_0, the trapping frequency in the scaled coordinate system, is negligible compared to the time scale of the effective spacetime (that is the Hubble constant H, cf. (11)). Then Ω becomes just a constant, and the action (S17) is invariant under the conformal transformation

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\tilde{\phi} = \Omega^{-1/2}\delta\phi, \tag{S20}$$

and the resulting metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ assumes the form of FRW Universe

$$ds^2 = c_0^2 d\tau^2 - a^2 d\mathbf{x}^2 = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{S21}$$

where $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1,\ -a^2,\ -a^2)$. Now we can apply standard techniques of quantum field theory in FRW Universe to obtain independent solutions for $\delta\tilde{\phi}$. Then the independent solutions for original field $\delta\phi$ will be obtained by $\delta\phi = \Omega^{1/2}\delta\tilde{\phi}$. In this effective spacetime, the Klein-Gordon (KG) equation for massless, minimally coupled free scalar field,

$$\Box\delta\tilde{\phi} = (1/\sqrt{|\tilde{g}|})\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|\tilde{g}|}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\delta\tilde{\phi}) = 0 \tag{S22}$$

takes the form of (9).

Here, for the ease of connecting the current discussion to a standard cosmological context, we introduce the conformal time

$$\eta := \int_\infty^\tau \frac{c_0}{a(\tau')}\,d\tau', \tag{S23}$$

which ranges from $-\infty$ ($\tau \to -\infty$) to 0 ($\tau \to \infty$). Then the metric (S18) takes the conformally flat form $ds^2 = a^2[d\eta^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2]$, and the equation (9) can be recasted in terms of an auxiliary field $\chi_k := \sqrt{a}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k$ by

$$\chi_k'' + \left[k^2 - \frac{a''}{2a} + \frac{(a')^2}{4a^2}\right]\chi_k = 0, \tag{S24}$$

where prime denotes taking η derivatives. One may compare this equation with Eqs. (1) and (2) of [32]. The choice of auxiliary field χ_k is motivated by removing the first derivative term in (9).

Mode functions in 2 + 1-dimensional de Sitter spacetime

We consider de Sitter spacetime by setting $a(\tau) = 1/f(\tau) = e^{H\tau}$. There are several simple analytic solutions to the scaling equation (4) for the realization of analogue de Sitter spacetime. For example one can consider $b := 1\,\forall\, t$, so that scaling time equals lab time, $\tau = t$, and obtain the scale factor evolution

$$a^{-2}(t) = e^{-2Ht} = \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} = \frac{g_c}{g_{c,0}} = \frac{g_d}{g_{d,0}} \tag{S25}$$

While this expansion has the advantage of scaling and lab time being identical, $\tau := t$, it is experimentally challenging to realize because of the (simultaneously) exponentially in time varying coupling constants. Another analytic solution, which is found by imposing $g_d := g_{d,0}$, gives (11). This solution implies g_c as well as g_d to be constant. Our numerical analysis is based on this solution. Note that we assume ω_0 to be negligible compared to H in the quasi-homogeneous limit.

A simple parameter can help us understand the underlying physical process and characterize appropriate asymptotic regimes. Define

$$s := \frac{c_0/H}{a/k} = \frac{c_0 k}{Ha}, \tag{S26}$$

which is the ratio of Hubble radius to the physical wavelength of a chosen mode. The parameter s starts from infinity and finally approaches 0. Note that, in the de Sitter analogue $a = e^{H\tau}$, the conformal time becomes $\eta = -c_0/Ha$, and the parameter s can be written employing conformal time simply as $s = -k\eta$. One can see that the horizon crossing time η_k of a chosen wavenumber k is determined by $s = 1$ or $k = a(\eta_k)H/c_0$. In the de Sitter analogue, $a = -c_0/H\eta$, the horizon crossing time is the moment when

$$k\eta_k = -1. \tag{S27}$$

The equation (9) can now be written as

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k'' - \frac{1}{s}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k' + \delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0, \tag{S28}$$

where prime denotes taking derivative with respect to s.

Large s implies that the mode is well inside the Hubble radius and does not feel the curvature of the analogue spacetime. When a is small, i.e., before the inflation, the condition $s \gg 1$ is satisfied for wide range of k and so all the relevant modes are well inside the Hubble radius. At this epoch, the second term in (9) can be neglected and we get the WKB solution for time varying frequency $\omega_k = c_0 k/a$:

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\hbar V}{2ma^2 Hs}}\exp(is) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar V}{2ma^2\omega_k}}\exp\left(-i\int_\infty^\tau \omega_k(\tau')d\tau'\right), \tag{S29}$$

where coefficients are chosen by imposing the normalization condition $\left(\delta\tilde{\phi}_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}/V,\, \delta\tilde{\phi}_k e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}}/V\right)_{\mathrm{KG}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ and the conserved Klein-Gordon (KG) inner product is defined by [49, 50]

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\mathrm{KG}} &= i\frac{mc_0}{\hbar}\int d^2\mathbf{x}\,\sqrt{|\gamma|}f^*(\mathbf{x},\tau)\overleftrightarrow{\partial_n}g(\mathbf{x},\tau)\\ &= i\frac{mc_0^2}{\hbar}\int d^2\mathbf{x}\,\frac{a^2}{c_0^2}f^*(\mathbf{x},\tau)\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\tau}g(\mathbf{x},\tau).\end{aligned} \tag{S30}$$

Here, γ is the determinant of the metric in the spatial slice $\tau = \text{const.}$, n^μ is its normal, and $\partial_n = n^\mu\partial_\mu$.

We note that, with this choice of coefficients, the canonical commutation relation, $\left[\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau),\, \delta\hat{\tilde{\pi}}(\mathbf{y},\tau)\right] = i\hbar\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, with conjugate momentum $\delta\tilde{\pi} = \partial\overline{\mathcal{L}^{(2)}}/\partial(\partial_\tau\delta\tilde{\phi}) = ma^2\partial_\tau\delta\tilde{\phi}$ holds, and the proper (diagonalized) expression for the energy $\overline{H^{(2)}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}}\hbar\omega_k(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} + 1/2)$ can be obtained.

It is possible to obtain an analytic solution to (S28) over the whole range of time. Following [60, 61], we define a function F by

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{s}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k.$$

Then (S28) becomes the Bessel equation of order 1:

$$s^2 f F'' + sF' + (s^2 - 1)F = 0,$$

whose general solution can be written as a linear combination of Bessel functions J_1 and Y_1 [43]. Thus we obtain

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k(s) = s\Big[A(k)J_1(s) + B(k)Y_1(s)\Big]. \tag{S31}$$

We can determine the coefficients $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ by matching this solution with the WKB solution (S29) in the $s \to \infty$ limit. Recalling the asymptotic behavior of Bessel functions [43], we see that, for fixed η,

$$B(k) \to iA(k), \quad A(k) \to \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar V H}{4mc_0^2 k^2}}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty$$

must be fulfilled in order to match the WKB solution (S29) up to a constant phase.

We invoke de Sitter invariance to determine $A(k)$ and $B(k)$ for all k. We observe that the metric (S21) with $a(\tau) = e^{H\tau}$ is invariant under the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &\to \tau' = \tau + \tau_1,\\ \mathbf{x} &\to \mathbf{x}' = e^{-H\tau_1}\mathbf{x},\end{aligned}$$

where τ_1 is arbitrary. If we define $\mathbf{k}' := \mathbf{k}e^{H\tau_1}$, we have $\mathbf{k}'/a(\tau') = \mathbf{k}/a(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}'$. Thus we obtain

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_{k'}(s) = s\Big[A(k')J_1(s) + B(k')Y_1(s)\Big],$$

since s is unchanged when $\tau \to \tau'$ and $k \to k'$. From the invariance of the metric, it follows that $\delta\tilde{\phi}_{k'}(s)e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}'}/V' = \delta\tilde{\phi}_k(s)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}/V$ and so

$$\frac{A(k)}{V} = \frac{A(k')}{V'}$$

for any k and any τ_1. Taking $\tau_1 \to \infty$, the r.h.s. converges to $(1/V)\sqrt{\pi\hbar V H/4mc_0^2k^2}$. Therefore we conclude that $A(k) = \sqrt{\pi\hbar V H/4mc_0^2k^2}$ for any k and the mode function is written as in (12).

We finally obtain the mode expansion for the phase fluctuation field:

$$\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\tau) + \hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(0)*}(\mathbf{x},\tau), \tag{S32}$$

where $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ are time independent creation/annihilation operators obeying the commutation relations $[\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$. The mode function is written as

$$f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\tau) = \frac{1}{V}h_k(s)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$

The vacuum corresponding to the basis $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Bunch-Davies vacuum [48]. Note that at this stage the relation between what $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ creates and the Bogoliubov quasi-particles is not clear. We will establish a direct connection between them below in (S60).

Correlation function

The correlations of a fluctuating quantum field are a measurable quantity in an experimental setup. Thus we investigate spatially Fourier-transformed two-point correlation function, which is defined by [11]

$$C_{\delta\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{k},\tau) = \int_V d^2\mathbf{x}\, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\big\langle\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(0,\tau)\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\big\rangle.$$

Now we insert the the mode expansion (S32). Recalling the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel functions, we have

$$h_k(s) \to -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar V H}{\pi m c_0^2}}\frac{1}{k}, \quad \text{as } s \to 0,$$

and obtain

$$C_{\delta\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{k},\tau) = \frac{|h_k|^2}{V} \to \frac{\hbar H}{\pi m c_0^2}\frac{1}{k^2}. \tag{S33}$$

Note that the mode function and correlation function become time independent at late times. Thus the density fluctuations determined by (5) vanish at zeroth order. In order to obtain nontrivial density fluctuations, one has to take the time dependence of the phase fluctuations into account, which is beyond the zeroth-order frozen part.

Scale invariant power spectrum

The amplitude of quantum fluctuations is always well defined irrespective of whether the particle interpretation of the field is available [60]. One way to characterize the typical fluctuations on scales L is to calculate the variance $\delta\chi_L^2(\tau) = \langle 0|[\hat{\chi}_L(\tau)]^2|0\rangle$ of the field operator averaged over a region of size L:

$$\hat{\chi}_L(\tau) := \int d^2\mathbf{x}\, \delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau) W_L(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $W_L(\mathbf{x})$ is a window function which is of order 1 for $|\mathbf{x}| \lesssim L$ and rapidly decays for $|\mathbf{x}| \gg L$. It is prototypically specified in terms of Gaussian function $W_L(\mathbf{x}) = (1/2\pi L^2)\exp(-|\mathbf{x}|^2/2L^2)$. Given the mode expansion (S32), after straightforward algebra with an approximation to the Fourier transform of the unit ($L = 1$) window function, $w(\mathbf{k}) \simeq 2\pi[1 - \theta(k-1)]$, one can find

$$\delta\chi_L^2(\tau) \simeq \int_0^{L^{-1}} \frac{dk}{k}\frac{k^2|h_k|^2}{V}.$$

We define the (two-dimensional version of) power spectrum $P(k)$ to be proportional to the variance per $\ln k$:

$$k^2 P(k) := \Delta^2(k) = \frac{d\delta\chi_L^2}{d\ln k} = \frac{k^2|h_k|^2}{V}, \quad k = L^{-1}.$$

Another characterization of the power spectrum is as the Fourier transform of the correlation function [47]:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= \langle 0|\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{y},\tau)|0\rangle \\ &:= \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} P(k) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}.\end{aligned} \tag{S34}$$

from which we have $P(k) = \langle\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_{\mathbf{k}}\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\rangle/V = |h_k|^2/V$, where $\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fourier transform of the mode expansion (S32). Note that $P(k)$ is nothing but the correlation function obtained in (S33). At late times, $\eta \to 0$, the power spectrum $P(k)$ converges to $\hbar H/\pi m c_0^2 k^2$ and we see that $\Delta^2(k) = k^2 P(k)$ becomes independent of k. We thus obtain, after the freezing process, a spectrum in which $\Delta^2(k)$, the variance per $\ln k$ [47], is constant. This is called a scale-invariant power spectrum (SIPS): The Universe has the same degree of 'wrinkliness' on each resolution scale. One can also understand this concept by observing that $|\delta\tilde{\phi}_k|^2 \propto \frac{1}{k^2}$, namely the probability amplitude of a fluctuation having wavelength $1/k$ is proportional to the volume(area) of the space that the fluctuation is filling. Thus the general shape of the fluctuation field will be independent of the resolution scale.

It is commonly argued that the prediction of scale invariance arises because de Sitter space is invariant under time translation: there is no natural origin of time under exponential expansion [47]. At a given moment of time, the only length scale in the model is the horizon size c_0/H, so it is inevitable that the fluctuations that exist on this scale are the same at all time. If one ignores their evolution while they are outside the horizon, the resulting fluctuations give us the scale-invariant or Harrison-Zel'dovich-Peebles spectrum [51–53].

Regarding the phase fluctuation field $\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)$ as a homogeneous and isotropic Gaussian random field [3], i.e. a field whose Fourier coefficients $\delta\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}} + ib_{\mathbf{k}}$ are random variables with probability function of the form,

$$p(a_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma_k^2} e^{-a_{\mathbf{k}}^2/\sigma_k^2} e^{-b_{\mathbf{k}}^2/\sigma_k^2}, \tag{S35}$$

the correlation function can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{V^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\langle\delta\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)\delta\tilde{\phi}^*_{\mathbf{k}'}(\tau)\rangle e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_k^2 e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})},\end{aligned} \tag{S36}$$

where one has to take into account that $a_{-\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $b_{-\mathbf{k}} = -b_{\mathbf{k}}$. From (S35), one can see that $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ are real random variables with standard deviation $\sigma_k/\sqrt{2}$.

Comparing (S34) and (S36), we see that the variance of the random variable $\delta\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by $\sigma_k^2 = P(k) = |h_k|^2/V \to \hbar H/\pi m c_0^2 k^2$, from which we can obtain a real-space realization of the phase fluctuation field $\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)$ as in Fig. 3 (a).

Incorporating trans-Planckian deformation

Generalized Klein-Gordon equation

If we rewrite (5) in real space, we obtain

$$\partial_\tau\delta\rho = -\frac{\hbar\rho_0}{m}\nabla_x^2\delta\phi, \qquad \partial_\tau\delta\phi \;\; = -\frac{f^2 g_0^{\text{eff}}}{\hbar}\mathcal{W}\delta\rho, \tag{S37}$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is an integral operator defined by

$$\mathcal{W} = \int d^2\mathbf{x}' \left[\frac{1}{V}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{W}_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}\right]\star(\mathbf{x}'), \tag{S38}$$

where $\star$ stands for the argument upon which the integral operator acts. Solving the second equation in (S37) for $\delta\rho$ and substituting into the first equation, we obtain

$$\partial_\tau\left[\frac{a^2}{c_0^2}\mathcal{W}^{-1}\partial_\tau\delta\tilde{\phi}\right] = \nabla_x^2\delta\tilde{\phi}, \tag{S39}$$

where $\delta\tilde{\phi} = \Omega^{-1/2}\delta\phi$ as in (S20). This is the 'generalized' Klein-Gordon equation with the local Lorentz invariance being broken [50].

Rewriting (S39) in momentum space, or equivalently, solving the second equation in (5) for $\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$ and substituting into the first equation yields (7).

Let us again introduce an auxiliary field and discuss in the cosmological context. In order to remove the first derivative term of (7), we define $\chi_k := \sqrt{a/\mathcal{W}_k}\delta\tilde{\phi}_k$, and recast (7) as

$$\chi_k'' + \left[k^2\mathcal{W}_k - \frac{a''}{2a}\left(1 - \frac{2a\mathcal{W}_k'}{\mathcal{W}_k}\right) + \frac{(a')^2}{4a^2}\left(1 - \frac{3a^2(\mathcal{W}_k')^2}{\mathcal{W}_k^2} + \frac{2a^2\mathcal{W}_k''}{\mathcal{W}_k} + \frac{4a\mathcal{W}_k'}{\mathcal{W}_k}\right)\right]\chi_k = 0, \tag{S40}$$

where a' denotes η derivative of the scale factor a, and $\mathcal{W}_k'$ denotes a derivative of $\mathcal{W}_k$. This equation corresponds to Eqs. (1) and (3) of [32]. It is easily observed that (S40) converges to (S24) when $\mathcal{W}_k := 1$, i.e. in the long wavelength limit. Furthermore, (S40) becomes (S24) except a factor of $\mathcal{W}_k$ multiplied to k^2 when $\mathcal{W}_k$ is time independent (or a independent). This case is discussed in the next subsection.

An exactly solvable case

Solving the general equation (7) requires numerical methods. We show herein that an analytic solutions under an approximation to the interaction potential and introducing a momentum cutoff is feasible.

We replace the Fourier transform of the interaction $\tilde{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0}$ by

$$\bar{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0} = \begin{cases} (1-\frac{1}{f^2})\frac{g_0^{\rm eff}\zeta^2}{4A} + \tilde{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0} & (\zeta \le \zeta_c), \\ (1-\frac{1}{f^2})\frac{g_0^{\rm eff}\zeta_c^4}{4A\zeta^2} + \tilde{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0} & (\zeta > \zeta_c), \end{cases} \tag{S41}$$

where momentum cutoff ζ_c is set to include a part of trans-Planckian momenta:

$$\zeta_c = \zeta_{\rm Pl} \times \alpha, \tag{S42}$$

where $\alpha \gtrsim 1$ determines the cutoff location and gives a class of spectrum lines that yields scale invariant power spectra (cf. Eq.(S45)). Note that initially ($f = 1$) the new potential $\bar{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0}$ coincides with the original one $\tilde{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0}$ (Fig. S2 (a)). As time passes, the excitation spectrum deviates from the true dispersion. However, the deviation is localized around the cutoff momentum ζ_c, and the dispersion law at low energies is secured $\forall t$.



FIG. S2. The squared excitation spectrum in units of $(\hbar^2/md^2_{z,0})^2$ at various instants of time. From left to right, the values of A are $A_{\rm min}$, A_c and $A_c \times 1.1$, repectively. $R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ for every case. The cutoff momentum is placed at $\alpha = 1.8$ (cf. (S42)). Blue lines represent the original spectrum while the red dashed lines are approximations carried out to obtain an analytic solution. Initially the two coincide and as time evolves they gradually deviate. Note that the deviation is however localized around the cutoff momentum ζ_c.

Below the cutoff ($\zeta \le \zeta_c$), the operator (6) becomes time independent

$$\mathcal{W}_k = \frac{\zeta^2}{4A} + \frac{1}{g_0^{\rm eff}}\tilde{V}^{\rm 2D}_{\rm int,0},$$

and equation (7) becomes

$$\delta\ddot{\tilde{\phi}}_k + 2H\delta\dot{\tilde{\phi}}_k + \left(\frac{c_0\tilde{k}}{a}\right)^2\delta\tilde{\phi}_k = 0, \tag{S43}$$

which is identical to (9) with k being replaced with $\tilde{k}$ defined by

$$\tilde{k}^2 := k^2 \mathcal{W}_k. \tag{S44}$$

We can then carry out exactly the same procedure for obtaining the mode functions (12) with s being replaced with $\tilde{s} = c_0\tilde{k}/Ha$ and with an additional prefactor $\sqrt{\mathcal{W}_k}$.

With these modified mode functions, the Fourier transformed correlation function, or the power spectrum now becomes (after freezing)

$$C_{\delta\tilde{\phi}}(\mathbf{k},\tau) = \frac{|h_k|^2}{V} \;\to\; \frac{\hbar H \mathcal{W}_k}{\pi m c_0^2 \tilde{k}^2},$$

and the variance per $\ln k$ becomes

$$\Delta^2(k) = \frac{2\hbar H \mathcal{W}_k}{m c_0^2}\frac{k^2}{\tilde{k}^2} = \frac{2\hbar H}{m c_0^2}, \tag{S45}$$

which still is scale invariant. Therefore, this type of trans-Planckian deformation implied by (S41) has no effect on the power spectrum and on the matter distribution after the freezing process and SIPS is retained.

Numerical implementation of the full Bogoliubov equation

Let us first analyze the condition (15) in detail. Fig. S3 shows the plots of $G(\zeta)^2$ and $(a_1\zeta)^2/4A$ for various values of A where a_1 is the final value of the scale factor. We see that, for the validity of the gravitational analogy, one can pose the later time Planck scale to be $\zeta_{\rm Pl} \lesssim 0.1$. If $\zeta < 0.05$, the condition (15) is safely satisfied for all cases.



FIG. S3. Plots of $G(\zeta)^2$ and $(a_1\zeta)^2/4A$ for various values of A. Here the final value of the scale factor a_1 is assumed to be $e^{5/2}$, i.e., 2.5 e-folds of expansion.

Before inflation, $s \to \infty$ and the second term in (16) becomes negligible. Therefore, one finds that the mode functions would converge to a WKB solution as $a \to 0$:

$$\delta\tilde{\phi}_k \to \sqrt{\frac{G(\zeta)\hbar V H s}{2mc_0^2k^2}}\exp(iG(\zeta)s)$$

where the coefficient is determined by the normalization condition $\left(\delta\tilde{\phi}_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}/V,\, \delta\tilde{\phi}_k e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}}/V\right)_{\mathcal{W}-\mathrm{KG}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ where the Generalized Klein-Gordon ($\mathcal{W}$-KG) inner product is defined by the equation (S49). This solution and its derivative provides initial conditions to the second order differential equation (16). Final values (after inflation) of the mode functions h_k then give the power spectrum via $P(k) = |h_k|^2/V$ and $\Delta^2(k) = k^2P(k)$.

Measurement

Bogoliubov transformation to the instantaneous Minkowski vacuum at late times

Since the de Sitter expansion is not asymptotically flat at late times, a vacuum state cannot be unambiguously defined for late times. However, the experimental verification obviously requires a choice of Fock vacuum and that choice should lead to physically reasonable results. We therefore assume that, following [62], that the expansion stops at some chosen moment of time τ_1 and the gas becomes stationary, in other words, $f(\tau) = e^{-H\tau}$ for $\tau < \tau_1$, and $f(\tau) := f_1$ for $\tau \geq \tau_1$.

Suppose that we have obtained a complete set of "in" mode functions $f^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\tau < \tau_1$, e.g. one obtained under (S44):

$$f^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \frac{1}{V} h_k(\eta) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \tag{S46}$$

where the temporal part is given by

$$h_k(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar V \mathcal{W}_k}{4ma^2 H}} \Big\{ J_1(-\tilde{k}\eta) + iY_1(-\tilde{k}\eta) \Big\},$$

where $\tilde{k}$ is as defined in (S44). And suppose that a complete set of "out" mode functions $f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ which defines the vacuum state at late times $\tau > \tau_1$ is given, e.g. one consists of

$$f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \frac{1}{V} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar V \mathcal{W}_k}{2ma_1^2 \omega_{k1}}} e^{-i\omega_{k1}(\tau - \tau_1)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \tag{S47}$$

where $\omega_{k1} := c_0 \tilde{k}/a_1$. This is a solution to the Bogoliubov equation (S43) with $a := a_1$ and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ set equal to zero, which is the late time behavior of the equation. The coefficients are fixed by imposing the normalization conditions

$$(f^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{k}}, f^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{k}'})_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}, \qquad (f^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{k}}, f^{(\lambda)*}_{\mathbf{k}'})_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = 0, \qquad (f^{(\lambda)*}_{\mathbf{k}}, f^{(\lambda)*}_{\mathbf{k}'})_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = -\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \tag{S48}$$

where the generalized KG product ($\mathcal{W}$-KG inner product) is defined by [50]

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = i\frac{mc_0^2}{\hbar} \int d^2\mathbf{x} \, \frac{a^2}{c_0^2} f^*(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\partial_\tau} g(\mathbf{x}, \tau). \tag{S49}$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}$-KG inner product converges to the standard relativistic KG product (S30) in the limit $\mathcal{W} \to 1$.

The task at hand is to represent the "in" mode functions at $\tau > \tau_1$ as a linear combination of the "out" mode functions, i.e. finding the Bogoliubov coefficients α_k, β_k in the expression

$$f^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}} = \alpha^*_k f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}} + \beta^*_k f^{(1)*}_{-\mathbf{k}} \tag{S50}$$

for $\tau > \tau_1$. Then the creation/annihilation operators for "in" and "out" states will be related as

$$\hat{\tilde{a}}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}} = (f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}, \delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}})_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = \alpha^*_k \hat{\tilde{a}}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}} + \beta_k \hat{\tilde{a}}^{(0)\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}}.$$

Since $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ in (S43) changes at $\tau = \tau_1$ in a discontinuous manner, the mode functions and their derivatives must be matched at this point:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1) &= \alpha^*_k f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1) + \beta^*_k f^{(1)*}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1), \\ \partial_\tau f^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1) &= \alpha^*_k \partial_\tau f^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1) + \beta^*_k \partial_\tau f^{(1)*}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\tau_1), \end{aligned}$$

where we suppressed $\mathbf{x}$ dependence for conciseness. In the case of (S46) and (S47), solving this equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*_k &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi\omega_{k1}}{8H}} \left\{ J_1 + Y_1' + \frac{HY_1}{\omega_{k1}} + i\left[Y_1 - J_1' - \frac{HJ_1}{\omega_{k1}} \right] \right\} \\ \beta^*_k &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi\omega_{k1}}{8H}} \left\{ J_1 - Y_1' - \frac{HY_1}{\omega_{k1}} + i\left[Y_1 + J_1' + \frac{HJ_1}{\omega_{k1}} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{S51}$$

where the arguments of the Bessel functions are $-\tilde{k}\eta_1$. Note that, if the normalization conditions, (S48), are applied to (S50), then one obtains the correct bosonic Bogoliubov unitarity condition $|\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$. This relation can also be checked from (S51) by direct computation.

If the initial state is assumed to have no excitations, the quantum state is the initial vacuum denoted by $|0\rangle_{(0)}$, i.e., $\hat{\tilde{a}}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle_{(0)} = 0$. We consider the Heisenberg picture and the state for $\delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}}$ is time independent. Then the expected number of particles with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ after inflation is calculated to be

$$ {}_{(0)}\langle 0|\hat{N}^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle_{(0)} = |\beta_k|^2 \ \to \ \frac{1}{2\pi|\tilde{k}\eta_1|^3}, \tag{S52}$$

as $\eta_1 \to 0$.

Translation of cosmological into lab-frame Bogoliubov quasi-particle excitations

Quantum excitations in BECs can, on the one hand, be analyzed within the Bogoliubov formalism by directly perturbing the Gross Pitaevskiĭ equation. On the other hand, the phase perturbations of the condensate obey a modified Klein-Gordon equation, and a corresponding quantization can be carried out as in (S32).

In order to connect quantum physics in curved spacetime to the behavior of a realistic quantum fluid, Leonhardt *et al.* [63] investigated the Hawking effect within the Bogoliubov theory of the elementary excitations in BEC. A more detailed correspondence was discussed by Jain *et al.* [62], giving an analytical expression for the particle creation spectrum in terms of the Bogoliubov mode functions in the case of a homogeneous BEC.

Kurita *et al.* [49] demonstrated the equivalence of the two procedures in the long-wavelength acoustic limit. They showed that the number operator of quanta in analog spacetime is different from that of Bogoliubov quasi-particles, unless the corresponding field is normalized correctly. With correct normalization, the number of quanta in analog spacetime can be exactly related with that of Bogoliubov quasi-particles.

Barceló *et al.* [50] consolidated the equivalence of the two approaches by generalizing the Klein-Gordon formalism beyond the limit of validity of the acoustic approximation. They showed that both formalism lead to the same concept of positive and negative solutions. This line of research allows us to establish a deep conceptual connection between the two formalisms, the first one being inherently nonrelativistic while the second is relativistic, up to corrections which are vanishingly small for long wavelengths.

In the following, we discuss the measurement implications of the predictions of previous sections, based on a generalized version of the theory formulated in [50].

Under the scaling transformation (S9) and the scaling conditions (S11) and (4), the Heisenberg equation of motion for the field operator $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x},\tau)$ reads

$$ i\hbar\partial_\tau\hat{\psi} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_x^2 + f^2\frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2 + f^2\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}')\right]\hat{\psi}. \tag{S53}$$

Expanding the field operator in canonical way, $\hat{\psi} = \psi_0 + \delta\hat{\psi}$, we obtain the GP equation (3) for the order parameter ψ_0, and the Bogoliubov equation [64]

$$ i\hbar\partial_\tau\delta\hat{\psi} = (\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{A})\delta\hat{\psi} + \mathcal{B}\delta\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \tag{S54}$$

where

$$ \mathcal{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_x^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sqrt{\rho_0}}\nabla_x^2\sqrt{\rho_0} - \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2 - \hbar\partial_\tau\phi_0, \tag{S55}$$

$$ \mathcal{A} = f^2\psi_0(\mathbf{x})\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\psi_0^*(\mathbf{x}')\star(\mathbf{x}'),$$

$$ \mathcal{B} = f^2\psi_0(\mathbf{x})\int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, V^{\rm 2D}_{{\rm int},0}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\psi_0(\mathbf{x}')\star(\mathbf{x}').$$

In deriving (S55), we have used (S13). The $\star$ stands for the argument upon which $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ acts.

Note that Eq. (S54) is a complex equation and is nonlinear: If $\delta\psi$ is a solution, then $\alpha\delta\psi$ is not unless α is real. Therefore we cannot directly perform a mode expansion to find the general solution. In order to overcome this problem, we enlarge the space: we introduce the spinor field

$$ \delta\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix}\delta\psi \\ \delta\bar{\psi}\end{pmatrix},$$

subject to the evolution equation

$$i\hbar\partial_\tau \delta\tilde{\Psi} = \mathcal{M}\delta\tilde{\Psi}, \qquad \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}+\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ -\mathcal{B}^* & -\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{A}^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S56}$$

This equation is now linear, and the solutions to the Bogoliubov equation (S54) are obtained by restricting the solutions of (S56) by the condition

$$\delta\bar{\psi} = \delta\psi^*, \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_x \delta\tilde{\Psi}^* = \delta\tilde{\Psi}, \tag{S57}$$

where $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ are the Pauli matrices.

We introduce here a conserved "Bogoliubov" inner product

$$\langle \delta\tilde{\Psi} | \delta\tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, \delta\tilde{\Psi}^\dagger \sigma_z \delta\tilde{\Psi}'.$$

One can check that the operator $\mathcal{M}$ is self-adjoint with respect to this inner product

$$\langle \delta\tilde{\Psi} | \mathcal{M}\delta\tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \langle \mathcal{M}\delta\tilde{\Psi} | \delta\tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_{\mathrm{B}}.$$

This implies that the "Bogoliubov" inner product is conserved for solutions of (S56). Note that this inner product is not positive definite, since it satisfies

$$\langle \sigma_x \delta\tilde{\Psi}^* | \sigma_x \delta\tilde{\Psi}'^* \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = -\langle \delta\tilde{\Psi}' | \delta\tilde{\Psi} \rangle_{\mathrm{B}},$$

and so the physical solutions, i.e. those that satisfy $\sigma_x \delta\tilde{\Psi}^* = \delta\tilde{\Psi}$, have zero norm.

The evolution operator $\mathcal{M}$ is self-adjoint in a non-positive-definite inner product space, and therefore it may have complex eigenvalues. We will assume that the condensate is stable and $\mathcal{M}$ has complete othonormal set of eigenspinors with real eigenvalues [50]. One can easily check that $\sigma_x \mathcal{M} \sigma_x = -\mathcal{M}^*$ holds, and in view of this property, one can see that if

$$U_k = \begin{pmatrix} u_k \\ v_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is an eigenspinor of $\mathcal{M}$ with eigenvalue ω_k, then $V_k^* = \sigma_x U_k^*$ is another eigenspinor of $\mathcal{M}$ with eigenvalue $-\omega_k$. Furthermore, the modes U_k and V_k^* are orthogonal and can be chosen orthonormal in the Bogoliubov inner product:

$$\langle U_k | U_l \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \delta_{kl}, \quad \langle U_k | V_l^* \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = 0, \quad \langle V_k^* | V_l^* \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = -\delta_{kl}.$$

Any spinor solution $\delta\tilde{\Psi}$ of Eq. (S56) can be expanded in this basis:

$$\delta\tilde{\Psi} = \sum_k b_k U_k + c_k^* V_k^*.$$

Note that the modes U_k and V_k^* themselves are not physical, while physical solutions are linear combinations of them.

Now the mode expansion for the physical spinor field becomes of the form

$$\delta\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} = \sum_k \hat{\tilde{b}}_k U_k + \hat{\tilde{b}}_k^\dagger V_k^*,$$

where $\hat{\tilde{b}}_k$ and $\hat{\tilde{b}}_k^\dagger$ are operators for Bogoliubov quasi-particles.

The (physical or unphysical) spinor field $\delta\tilde{\Psi}$ corresponds to (complexified) density and phase fluctuations by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\rho &= \sqrt{\rho_0}\big(e^{-i\phi_0}\delta\psi + e^{i\phi_0}\delta\bar{\psi}\big), \\ \delta\phi &= \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\rho_0}}\big(e^{-i\phi_0}\delta\psi - e^{i\phi_0}\delta\bar{\psi}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{S58}$$

The condition (S57) that $\delta\psi$ and $\delta\bar{\psi}$ represent physical solutions to the Bogoliubov equation (S56) translates into reality conditions for $\delta\rho$ and $\delta\phi$. In that case, the density and current operators are expanded as $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi} = \rho_0 + \delta\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{j}} = (\hbar/2mi)(\hat{\psi}^\dagger\nabla\hat{\psi} - \nabla\hat{\psi}^\dagger\hat{\psi}) = \rho_0\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{com}}\delta\rho + (\rho_0\hbar/m)\nabla_x\delta\hat{\phi}$. In addition, from the bosonic commutation

relations $[\delta\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}), \delta\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{x}')] = \delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$ etc., one obtains $[\delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x}), \delta\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}')] = i\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$, i.e., the density and phase fluctuations are canonically conjugate fields. By the relation (S58), there is one-to-one correspondence between spinor fields $\delta\tilde{\Psi}$ and complexified density and phase fluctuations $\delta\rho$, $\delta\phi$. Provided they are physical solutions, $\delta\rho$ and $\delta\phi$ are related by (5).

One can readily derive

$$\langle \delta\tilde{\Psi} | \delta\tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_{\rm B} = (\delta\tilde{\phi}, \delta\tilde{\phi}')_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}}, \tag{S59}$$

where $\delta\tilde{\phi} = \Omega^{-1/2}\delta\phi$, and $\mathcal{W}$-KG inner product is as defined in (S49). For a given set of mode functions $\{f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}\}$ for the field $\delta\tilde{\phi}$, which for example were obtained in (S46) and (S47), one can find corresponding mode functions $\{U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}\}$ for the spinor field, and this gives an exact relation between cosmological particles $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}$ and Bogoliubov quasi-particles $\hat{\tilde{b}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}$:

$$\hat{\tilde{a}}^{(\lambda)} = (f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}, \delta\hat{\tilde{\phi}})_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}} = \langle U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)} | \delta\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \rangle_{\rm B} = \hat{\tilde{b}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)} \tag{S60}$$

Therefore the number operator of cosmological particles is identical with that of Bogoliubov quasi-particles:

$$\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)\dagger}\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)} = \hat{\tilde{b}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)\dagger}\hat{\tilde{b}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}. \tag{S61}$$

We note here that we have put a tilde on the operators $\hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}$ and $\hat{\tilde{b}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}$ in order to keep track of the fact that the corresponding particles are detected in the comoving frame (S8). However, experiments obviously implement particle detection in the lab frame. Therefore, one more translation into the lab frame is needed, and is specified below.

Translation into lab frame variables

When a normalized mode function $\sqrt{\Omega}f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\lambda)}$ for the field $\delta\phi = \sqrt{\Omega}\delta\tilde{\phi}$ is given, one can get a mode function for the field $\delta\rho$ by the relation

$$\delta\rho = -\frac{a^2\hbar}{g_0^{\rm eff}}\mathcal{W}^{-1}\partial_\tau\delta\phi, \tag{S62}$$

which is immediate from the second equation of (S37). Then one gets the mode functions for $\delta\tilde{\Psi}$ via

$$\delta\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\psi \\ \\ \delta\bar{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_0}\left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\rho_0}}\delta\rho + i\sqrt{\rho_0}\delta\phi\right] \\ \\ e^{-i\phi_0}\left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\rho_0}}\delta\rho - i\sqrt{\rho_0}\delta\phi\right] \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S63}$$

which have already been normalized by (S59). The perturbed field $\delta\psi$ of the scaled order parameter is related to that of the original Bose field by

$$\delta\Psi = \frac{e^{i\Phi}}{b}\delta\psi, \quad \delta\bar{\Psi} = \frac{e^{-i\Phi}}{b}\delta\bar{\psi}. \tag{S64}$$

The normalization should however still be verified for this field: We form a spinor field

$$\delta\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\Psi \\ \delta\bar{\Psi} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{b}\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\Phi}\delta\psi \\ e^{-i\Phi}\delta\bar{\psi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S65}$$

and introduce the Bogoliubov inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta\Upsilon | \delta\Upsilon' \rangle_{\rm B} &= \int d^2\mathbf{r}\, \delta\Upsilon^\dagger\sigma_z\delta\Upsilon' = \int d^2\mathbf{x}\, \delta\tilde{\Psi}^\dagger\sigma_z\delta\tilde{\Psi}' \\ &= \langle \delta\tilde{\Psi} | \delta\tilde{\Psi}' \rangle_{\rm B} = (\delta\tilde{\phi}, \delta\tilde{\phi}')_{\mathcal{W}\text{-KG}}. \end{aligned} \tag{S66}$$

This implies that the cosmological particles are equivalent to the Bogoliubov quasi-particles observed in the lab frame provided the mode functions are chosen consistent with (S62), (S63), (S64), and (S65). It leads to the lab frame Bogoliubov quasi-particle operators when expansion stops, see above discussion between Eqs. (S46) and (S52), being given by $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}/b_1}^{(1)} = \hat{\tilde{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}$, where $b_1 := b(t_1)$ is the final scale factor.